1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
3	AMARILLO DIVISION		
4			
5	ALLIANCE FOR HIPPOCRATIC ) 2:22-CV-223-Z MEDICINE, et al.,		
6	Plaintiffs, ) )		
7	VS. ) AMARILLO, TEXAS		
8	U.S. FOOD AND DRUG )		
9	ADMINISTRATION, et al., )  Defendants. ) March 10, 2023		
10	berendants. ) Maren 10, 2023		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW J. KACSMARYK		
13			
14	UNI TED STATES DI STRI CT JUDGE		
15			
16	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :		
17			
18	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: ERIK CHRISTOPHER BAPTIST Alliance Defending Freedom		
19	440 First Street NW Washington, DC 20001		
20	(202) 393-8690 ebaptist@adflegal.org		
21	ERIN MORROW HAWLEY		
22	Alliance Defending Freedom 440 First Street NW		
23	Suite 600 Washington, DC 20001		
24	(571) 707-4655 ehawley@adflegal.org		
25			

1 2 3 4	FOR THE DEFENDANT, U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.:	
5		NOAH T. KATZEN
6 7		U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division 450 5th St. NW
8		Washington, DC 20530 (202) 305-2428 noah.t.katzen@usdoj.gov
9		KATE TALMOR
10		U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division
11		1100 L Street NW
12		Washington, DC 20005 (202) 305-5267
13		kate.talmor@usdoj.gov
14	FOR THE INTERVENOR	CATHERINE EMILY STETSON
15	DEFENDANT, DANCO LABORATORIES LLC:	Hogan Lovells US LLP 555 13th Street NW
16		Washington, DC 20004 (202) 637-5491
17		cate.stetson@hoganlovells.com
18		JESSICA LYNN ELLSWORTH Hogan Lovells US LLP
19		555 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20004
20		(202) 637-5886 jessica.ellsworth@hoganlovells.com
21		KAITLYN GOLDEN
22		Hogan Lovells US LLP 555 13th Street NW
23		Washington, DC 20004 (202) 637-5864
24		kaitlyn.golden@hoganlovells.com
25		

1	RYAN PATRICK BROWN Ryan Brown Attorney at Law	
2	1222 S. Fillmore St. Amarillo, Texas 79101	
3	(806) 372-5711 ryan@ryanbrownattorneyatlaw.com	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	COURT REPORTER: TODD ANDERSON, RMR, CRR	
19	United States Court Reporter 1100 Commerce St., Rm. 1625	
20	Dallas, Texas 75242 (214) 753-2170	
21		
22		
23	Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography and	
24	transcript produced by computer.	
25		

## TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE - MARCH 10, 2023

## <u>PROCEEDINGS</u>

THE COURT: The Court calls Civil Action Number 2:22-CV-223-Z, Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine versus U.S. Food and Drug Administration for status conference on the pending motion for preliminary injunction in ECF Number 6 alongside the responsive documents filed by the Defendants.

This status conference is on the record with Northern District of Texas court reporter Todd Anderson. He is assigned to Chief Judge Godbey but has graciously agreed to cover this proceeding.

Mr. Anderson, are you present and transcribing?

THE REPORTER: I am, Judge. Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Okay. So at this point, I'll identify the attorneys who should be on the line and the parties they represent.

By order dated March 8th, ECF Number 124, this Court instructed the parties to identify to the courtroom deputy on or before 10:00 a.m. today the lead and associate counsel participating in this status conference.

The courtroom deputy received the following names.

These persons should respond present when I call his or her name.

For the Plaintiffs, Erik Baptist?

Todd Anderson, RMR, CRR

MR. BAPTIST: Present.

```
THE COURT: And Erin Hawley?
1
              MS. HAWLEY: Present.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: For the Defendants HHS and FDA, Noah
     Katzen?
 4
 5
              MR. KATZEN: Present.
              THE COURT: Julie Straus Harris?
 6
 7
              MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Present.
 8
              THE COURT: And Kate Talmor?
 9
              MS. TALMOR: Present.
              THE COURT: And for Defendant Intervenor, Jessica
10
     Ellsworth?
11
12
              MS. ELLSWORTH:
                               Present.
              THE COURT: Catherine Stetson?
13
14
              MS. STETSON:
                             Present.
15
              THE COURT: Kaitlyn Golden?
16
              MS. GOLDEN: Present.
17
              THE COURT: And Ryan Patrick Brown, who is listed as
18
     local counsel?
19
              MR. BROWN:
                         Present.
20
              THE COURT: Finally, in the interest of full
21
    disclosure and for record purposes, the courtroom deputy, law
22
     clerks, and interns are physically present for this status
23
     conference, but they're only in an observer status and will not
24
     audibly participate, but I wanted the parties and attorneys to
25
    be aware of their presence.
```

So the purpose of this status conference is to announce the hearing that will be set in the pending motion for preliminary injunction. Again this is ECF Number 6.

The Court will hold a hearing on Plaintiff's pending motion for preliminary injunction at 9:30 a.m. central daylight time on Wednesday, March 15, 2023.

The hearing will take place in the J. Marvin Jones

Federal Building and Mary Lou Robinson United States Courthouse

located at 205 Southeast 5th Avenue, Amarillo, Texas

79101-1559.

Counsel for all parties involved are expected to be present, and I am instructing now the parties to be prepared to discuss, explain, and argue any issue raised by the briefing, including, but not limited to, the following topics, which may serve as an outline for your hearing practice.

First: Plaintiff's standing to sue, including associational, organizational, zone of interest standing.

Judicial reviewability, including the Reopening Doctrine, Heckler v. Chaney, specifically the committed to agency discretion by law, neither briefed by the parties.

Exhaustion of Comstock Act claims and arguments, including any exceptions that might apply, including futility or that agency action may result in individual injustice or that it is contrary to important public policy. And then in that category of exhaustion and the Comstock Act whether this

issue is raised with sufficient clarity by the LLC memorandum provided by the Department of Justice.

Next, Number 3, Subpart H, including the history of texts and applicability and the FDA's implementation vis-à-vis the 2000 approval.

4. Agency decisionmaking, including restrictions, labeling, elements and conditions arising under the FFDCA, PREA, FDAAA, and elements to assure safe use under 21 U.S. Code. Section 355-1.

Fifth: Irreparable harm, including third-party issues of any APA deference standards that may apply and public interest in balancing.

Sixth: The public interest prong, specifically Plaintiff's stated theories relevant to public health, police power, and Defendant's stated theories relevant to FDA policymaking.

And then finally, remedies. Any arguments on what this Court may do as fashioning a remedy, withdrawal and/or suspension under Section 35 -- I'm sorry -- Section 355(e) and (f), and then any arguments on what the APA provides in terms of setting aside or vacating. So be prepared to discuss what remedies you propose should this Court grant relief to the movants.

Now, as far as format, I previously served as an appellate division AUSA, and I modeled my longer hearings on

the typical Fifth Circuit pattern for argument. Rest assured you're not going to be given just 20 minutes, but it will follow that same pattern. The appellant goes first, the appellee goes second, and then the appellant receives set aside full rebuttal if they elect to do so.

So here that would mean Plaintiffs are allocated two hours but may reserve time for rebuttal. And just be careful to announce that time to the Court.

The Court will then recess for 15 minutes to allow all Defendants time to reconfigure the courtroom as necessary, and the Defendants are allocated two hours with no rebuttal time available.

And then Plaintiffs will conclude the hearing with any rebuttal time reserved.

So it will follow a typical Fifth Circuit pattern with the movant beginning and holding back a portion if necessary for rebuttal, the respondent then having the full two hours, and then whatever rebuttal is reserved.

Plaintiff and Defendants shall determine how much time will be allocated among each individual party and each attorney, but I'll caution you that you should sequence your attorney time to avoid alternating counsel at the microphone. I don't have a one-party/one-attorney rule, but I do ask that you don't force the Court to play whack-a-mole with the various attorneys hopping up and down at the podium.

So I do allow multiple attorneys to argue different portions of the hearing. I just ask that you be disciplined about the sequence. So as you structure your outline and argument that different attorneys are going to argue different issues or matters, just be careful the sequence of those in a way that it doesn't disrupt decorum and the pace of the hearing.

So here this allocation of time is based on the Court's review of the Intervenor Defendant response along with Plaintiff's motion and Defendant's briefing in this case. The Court finds that Defendants and the Intervenor Defendant have substantially similar arguments, and for that reason the Court is instructing the Defendants to allocate time between Defendants FDA and HHS and the Defendant Intervenor. So the parties may allocate that time as they wish.

I'll also note for the record here Plaintiffs also have multiple parties involved. If they intend to present counsel for particular plaintiffs, they me do so.

So here the Plaintiff and Defendants are instructed to allocate their time. Accordingly, you both have two hours in the sequence the Court described.

Now, regarding housekeeping for the attorneys in this case, all of which have not appeared before this Court except for Mr. Brown. It's important to bring your bar card or any government identification when you enter the courthouse. This

will allow you to proceed through security with electronic devices. So we have strict rules about the persons in the court space allowed to use technology like laptops, iPads, and cell phones.

If you have your bar card or you have your DOJ credentials or anything like a government ID, you'll be allowed to proceed with your electronic devices. All other persons will be asked to leave those devices outside the courtroom.

So be careful to have that bar card or form of identification noting you as the counsel of record, and you will be allowed to bring your laptop and other devices.

I'll also instruct that by 10:00 a.m. CST Tuesday,
March 14, 2023, all attorneys seeking to present at the hearing
that they submit their business cards to my courtroom deputy
for court reporter purposes and any spellings.

I'll also instruct the parties on or before 10:00 a.m. CST Tuesday, March 14, 2023, to submit a term list.

I know that we have many abbreviated statutes and regulations. We also have various jurisprudential terms that could be potentially confusing to the court reporter. I'll just instruct the parties to separately submit their intended term list. And this should include things like FFDCA, PREA, if that's how you intend to pronounce it, P-R-E-A, those abbreviations and those case-specific terms that could be potentially confusing to the court reporter transcribing the

case.

So on or before 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, please have those submitted to the Court, and we will refer those to the court reporter.

And, also, for clarity purposes and to preserve a good record on appeal, when you're citing parts of the record, I will instruct the parties to use the ECF page numbering at the top of the page, which may or may not correspond to the original pagination of the briefing.

So sometimes it could be confusing for an appellate attorney reviewing an ROA when people are using different paginations, so here I'll just ask that the parties have some discipline in using the pagination of the ECF page numbering. So that should be the blue numbers at the top and the watermark that the court places.

And if you are citing any of the various appendices, I'll ask that if you do intend to cite the appendices of Plaintiff's complaint -- and, again, that's ECF Number 1 -- note that various versions of these appendices were double marked by the ECF system. So if you are going to cite to any appendices attached to Plaintiff's complaint that you use the one that isn't double marked. And I believe that was the original attachment.

So some of the documents have been filed in multiple pleadings. I'll just ask to use some discipline in using the

ECF marks that were not double and triple marked. That will avoid some confusion.

Now, importantly, I do allow PowerPoint presentations, courtroom technology. My predecessor was adamantly opposed to courtroom technology, but we have changed those rules. The local rules are no longer in play. I just ask that you work diligently with our courtroom deputy and IT support staff to pre-clear your equipment.

And so the Court orders that on or before 10:00 a.m. central standard time on Tuesday, March 14, 2023, that you submit with detail the IT equipment you intend to use. And you should not assume that the satellite division has the same level of IT support that you might expect in the Dallas Division or the Fort Worth Division. So it's critical to your presentation that you communicate effectively to our IT staff the type of device that you want to use. Laptops, iPads, any USB, hardwire connections that you intend to use, if you can give operating systems, things like that. Just give as much detail as will assist our IT support to make sure when you arrive you can be ready to go at the start of the hearing.

So I'll permit the parties to arrive as early as 8:00 to coordinate with IT support to check their connectivity.

Any materials that you want to present by visual aid or ELMO or any other device you can test-drive that equipment at 8:00 the morning of the hearing.

And because of limited security resources and staffing, I will ask that the parties avoid further publicizing the date of the hearing. This is not a gag order but just a request for courtesy given the death threats and harassing phone calls and voicemails that this division has received. We want a fluid hearing with all parties being heard. I think less advertisement of this hearing is better.

So I'm not ordering under any gag order doctrine that you are gagged. I'm just requesting it as a courtesy to the Court and court staff.

So other elements of this case have brought a barrage of death threats and protesters and the rest. I don't want that to disrupt your presentation to the Court.

So we will have standard security protocols in place, but I'll just ask as a courtesy that you not further advertise or Tweet any of the details of this hearing so that all parties can be heard and we don't have any unnecessary circus-like atmosphere of what should be more of an appellate-style proceeding.

So with that, I know you were taking notes. Are there any questions from the Plaintiffs? And I'll ask that you identify yourself by name if you're going to speak on behalf of the Plaintiffs.

MR. BAPTIST: Your Honor, this is Erik Baptist on behalf of Plaintiffs. I have no questions.

THE COURT: Okay. And for Defendant HHS and FDA? I 1 understand that there are some people in professional 2 3 capacities. I'm just using HHS and FDA as placeholders for the 4 entire signature block. So anything from Defendants HHS and FDA? 5 MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor. This is Julie 6 7 Straus Harris with the Department of Justice for the Defendants. 8 9 Just two matters. One, will the parties be provided -- we've been taking notes, but will the parties be 10 11 provided with any written copy of these instructions separate 12 from our notes? 13 THE COURT: There will be an order setting the hearing on Tuesday. So I will memorialize these instructions, 14 15 deadlines, and the rest in an order that will file sometime 16 Tuesday. 17 But if you have questions about the particulars, you should probably ask them now, because I don't want to have any 18

confusion that late in the game.

MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't think we have any specific questions about those instructions right now.

The order that you just indicated would be put on the docket on Tuesday, would that -- will that order be publicly available on the docket?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It will. It will. But to minimize some of the unnecessary death threats and voicemails and harassment that this division has received from the start of the case, we're going to post that later in the day. So it may even be after business hours, but that will be publicly filed. That will be available on ECF and PACER, and it will memorialize the instructions given today.

MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Thank you very much for that clarification.

And will the hearing itself be open to the public?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. So we have court -- the first floor courtroom has a gallery. It's the primary courtroom for the division. I am reserving the first row for the parties. That could include paralegal support, any experts that you may bring. Anybody who is not at the microphone may assemble in that first row. There is sort of a movant's side and a respondent's side of the courtroom.

as an AUSA, and it was a big national security FISA case. And we had to use those first rows for all sorts of FBI personnel for that. So I don't know how many attorneys and support staff that you're bringing with you, but I will reserve the first row for each side, so you can rely on that spacing.

1 2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

Everything beyond that first row will be general audience seating. We have all the rules in place for decorum and courtroom technology and the rest.

There will be numbered seating. So it's a little bit similar to the way we do voir dire. We got used to this sort of spacing during COVID, and we've continued to use a numbering system for seats assigned. So anybody attending the hearing in a general public capacity will be assigned a number, and they're allowed to remain present for the hearing at that point, you know, subject to all the rules about disruption of decorum.

I will note that once those seats are filled, the courtroom will be closed at that point.

If any media are in attendance, they can do the shuttle system where, you know, members of the same newspaper or media outlet might use the same number, but once the seats are filled, the seats are filled.

I have a magistrate judge who uses the second floor for primarily criminal matters on the Friday docket, initial appearances, things like that. So we have to be -- we have to be judicious in our use of space. But that is what any member of general public should expect.

MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

What you just said makes one additional question from me, which is that you referred to experts that any party may

1 bring. Will the Court entertain testimony at the hearing? THE COURT: No. This is just for -- this is just for 2 argument. If it's not an attachment and it's not part of the 3 paper in this case, it's not allowed at the hearing. You may 4 make reference to that, and you may have personnel who serve as 5 support staff or the rest. I only use the word "expert" 6 7 because I know there could be non-attorney personnel at FDA or 8 HHS who want to sit through the hearing even in an observer 9 capacity. 10 So I didn't want to prejudice you in any way in limiting my definition of trial participant to just attorneys 11 12 and paralegal support. So I said expert because I anticipated that you might have some medical professionals or whoever who 13 want to observe and even pass notes, but we're not taking 14 15 testimony. 16 MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And any additional questions from 18 Defendants HHS and FDA? 19 MS. STRAUS HARRIS: Not at this time, Your Honor. 20 Thank you. THE COURT: So from Defendant Intervenor, any 21 22 questions? 23 MS. ELLSWORTH: Thank you, Your Honor. This is 24 Jessica Ellsworth. I just have one question having not been in 25 your courtroom, which is, is there a separate counsel table in

front of the first row that you were describing? And if so, can you tell us how many seats are there so we can think about that?

THE COURT: Yes. And if you want to discuss courtroom configuration, Ryan Patrick Brown, your local counsel, is a good resource. He has been in my court -
MS. ELLSWORTH: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- almost weekly on criminal docket matters.

So there are two tables that can easily sit -- seat two with -- you know, with some potential claustrophobia three or four. So in a typical criminal matter, we'll have two AUSAs and a case agent seated at the table comfortably. You could feasibly do four with some tight spacing.

Immediately behind counsel table -- and by the way, there are two microphones at these tables. Immediately behind those tables are a row of swivel chairs that are typically used by an FBI agent or any investigators in a criminal case. I'll allow you to use those for any attorneys, sort of an overflow section for counsel.

And then I anticipate anybody else who's part of your team that, you know, aren't likely to be at the microphone can then occupy that first row.

So having tried a case in that courtroom, that's kind of my vision for how we'll do staffing and seating.

So the Defendants will need to decide and also the Plaintiffs how they want to allocate those two to three seats and then the chairs immediately behind those and then the row behind that. So that's kind of the layout. And bigger cases can get tight.

The court space is horizontally wide but not vertically deep. So this is different than the second floor courtroom, which is a refurbished post office, which is very, very deep with lots of gallery space but narrow for attorneys. So I determined that this was a better courtroom in a case that may involve more attorneys and less gallery.

MS. ELLSWORTH: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So in thinking deep versus wide, we are in the courtroom that is wide, which will allow for a lot of courtroom technology. We do -- thanks to the CARES Act, we do have a large video screen that can project PowerPoints, exhibits, things of that sort. We also have an ELMO that's connected to that screen. It's a better space for the attorneys, but it doesn't have as much gallery space for the general public. So it is wide but not deep.

Any additional questions from the Defendant Intervenor?

MS. ELLSWORTH: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay. With that, you can expect an order

25 memorializing these instructions to issue later on Tuesday.

And if you need to double-check, check your notes for any times or instructions. You can always use the NDTX portal on the left side or contact my courtroom deputy. But I will warn all involved that there's only one person in this courthouse you can't piss off, and it's not me, it's my courtroom deputy. So please be judicious in reaching out to the courtroom deputy. So with that, this matter is adjourned, and we're off the record. And you're ordered to reappear for a hearing on wednesday at the appointed date and time. (Hearing adjourned) 

1	INDEX
2	Status Conference4
3	Court Reporter's Certificate
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	I, TODD ANDERSON, United States Court Reporter for the
2	United States District Court in and for the Northern District
3	of Texas, Dallas Division, hereby certify that the above and
4	foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of the
5	proceedings in the above entitled and numbered cause.
6	WITNESS MY HAND on this 14th day of March, 2023.
7	
8	
9	/s/Todd Andonson
10	/s/Todd Anderson TODD ANDERSON, RMR, CRR United States Court Reporter
11	1100 Commerce St., Rm. 1625 Dallas, Texas 75242
12	(214) 753-2170
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	